UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,) Case No. 1:06-CR-174
v.) Honorable Robert Holmes Bel
TYRONE LAVON BONDS,)
Defendant.)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on November 17, 2006, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Tyrone Lavon Bonds entered a plea of guilty to Counts 2 and 5 of the First Superseding Indictment charging him with possession with intent to distribute more than fifty (50) grams of cocaine base (Count 2), in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a) and 841(b)(1)(A)(iii), and providing for the forfeiture of the property identified in Count 5 that was either derived from the sale of controlled substances or used to facilitate the sale of controlled substances, 21 U.S.C. §§ 853, 841(a) and 856(a)(2), in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats,

Case 1:06-cr-00174-RHB ECF No. 39 filed 11/17/06 PageID.83 Page 2 of 2

or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature

of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Counts 2 and 5 of the First

Superseding Indictment be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty of the charge, and that

the written plea agreement be considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. Acceptance of

the plea, adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, and imposition of sentence are

specifically reserved for the district judge.

The Clerk is directed to procure a transcript of the plea hearing for review by the

District Judge.

Dated: November 17, 2006

/s/ Joseph G. Scoville

U.S. Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO PARTIES

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than ten days after the plea hearing. *See* W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d). A failure to file timely objections may result in the waiver of any further right to seek appellate review of the plea-taking procedure. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Neuman v. Rivers*, 125 F.3d 315, 322-23 (6th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 522 U.S. 1030 (1997); *United States*

v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).